

**16.2** Five *d*-block elements can be found in Appendix 2B with positive standard potentials. They are

Ag,  $E^\circ = +0.80$  V

Cu,  $E^\circ = +0.34$  V

Au,  $E^\circ = +1.40$  V

Pt,  $E^\circ = +1.20$  V

Hg,  $E^\circ = +0.79$  V

**16.6** (a) Co is very slightly higher.

(b) Fe

(c) Cr is slightly higher.

(d) Because silver is larger, one expects it to have a lower first ionization potential, which is the case ( $731 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ ).

(e) One might expect the third row transition metal to have a lower first ionization energy; however, due to the lanthanide contraction, the ionization potential for silver is less than for gold ( $731 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$  vs.  $890 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ ).

**16.44** (a) 3; (b) 6; (c) 6; (d) 9; (e) 4; (f) 6

**16.58** Cu(II) compounds contain one unpaired electron ( $3d^9$  configuration); Cu(I) compounds have no unpaired electrons ( $3d^{10}$ ). Therefore, Cu(II) compounds may be colored and paramagnetic, but Cu(I) compounds are not.

$$16.60 \quad (a) \Delta_0 = \frac{hc}{\lambda} = \frac{(6.63 \times 10^{-34} \text{ J} \cdot \text{s}^{-1})(3.00 \times 10^8 \text{ m} \cdot \text{s}^{-1})}{295 \times 10^{-3} \text{ m}} = 6.75 \times 10^{-19} \text{ J}$$

$$(b) \Delta_0 = \frac{hc}{\lambda} = \frac{(6.63 \times 10^{-34} \text{ J} \cdot \text{s}^{-1})(3.00 \times 10^8 \text{ m} \cdot \text{s}^{-1})}{435 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m}} = 4.75 \times 10^{-19} \text{ J}$$

$$(c) \Delta_0 = \frac{hc}{\lambda} = \frac{(6.63 \times 10^{-34} \text{ J} \cdot \text{s}^{-1})(3.00 \times 10^8 \text{ m} \cdot \text{s}^{-1})}{540 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m}} = 3.68 \times 10^{-19} \text{ J}$$

The above numbers can be multiplied by  $6.022 \times 10^{23}$  to obtain the energies in  $\text{kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ .

$$(a) 6.75 \times 10^{-19} \text{ J} \times 6.022 \times 10^{23} \text{ mol}^{-1} = 4.06 \times 10^5 \text{ J} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \\ = 406 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$$

**16.80** A diamagnetic substance has no unpaired electrons and is weakly pushed out of a magnetic field. Paramagnetism refers to the presence of unpaired electrons in a substance. A paramagnetic compound is pulled toward a magnetic field.

Ferromagnetism is an extensive property that occurs when the unpaired electrons on a number of metal ions within a sample align with each other. Paramagnetism is a property of any substance with unpaired electrons, whereas ferromagnetism is a property of certain substances that can become permanently magnetized. Their spins become aligned, and this alignment can be retained even in the absence of a magnetic field. In a paramagnetic substance, the alignment is lost when the magnetic field is removed. Antiferromagnetism is the opposite of ferromagnetism—it occurs when the unpaired electrons on a number of metal ions within a sample pair between the metal ions, so that the overall magnetism cancels.

$$16.82 \quad n(\text{H}_2\text{O}) = 2.387 \text{ g H}_2\text{O} \times \frac{1 \text{ mol H}_2\text{O}}{18.016 \text{ g H}_2\text{O}} = 0.1324 \text{ mol}$$

$$n(\text{Cl}_2) = 1.57 \text{ g Cl}_2 \times \frac{1 \text{ mol Cl}_2}{70.90 \text{ g Cl}_2} = 0.0221 \text{ mol Cl}_2$$

**16.98** If the sample were 100% pure, its optical rotation would be 48.0 degrees·(mol·L<sup>-1</sup>)<sup>-1</sup>·cm<sup>-1</sup>. Because the rotation is only 46.5 degrees (mol·L<sup>-1</sup>)<sup>-1</sup>·cm<sup>-1</sup>, we know that the percentage of the sample of A that is giving rise to the rotation is  $(46.5 \div 48.0) \times 100 = 96.9\%$ . But because the impurity is A\*, this is not all of the A in the sample.

Consider the case where  $[A] = [A^*]$ , which gives rise to no rotation of light because the degree of rotation of A\* will exactly cancel the rotation of A. If we have a mixture of 10% A\* and 90% A, then the rotation of 10% of A\* will cancel an equal amount of rotation by A. Thus, the observed rotation will be 80% of the value of pure A or  $0.80 \times 48.0 \text{ degrees} \cdot (\text{mol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1})^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1} = 38 \text{ degrees} \cdot (\text{mol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1})^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1}$ . For the specific case in hand, the 3.1% rotation that is lost must be due to a 1:1 mixture of A and A\*. So the total amount of A in the sample will be  $96.9\% + 1/2(3.1\%) = 98.5\%$ .